


**GENDER DIFFERENCES IN
NEURAL RESPONSES TO
WINS & LOSSES IN RISKY
DECISIONS:
CONSIDERATIONS FOR
CONTINGENCY MANAGEMENT
TREATMENT**

Mary Cazzell, RN, PhD
The University of Texas at Arlington
Arlington, Texas

**CONTINGENCY MANAGEMENT
(Motivational Incentives)**

- Based on principle of behavior modification
- Detect target behavior through objective monitoring
- Tangible reinforcers
- Most effective for initiating drug abstinence **BUT**...
- Long-term goals divided into short-term steps



**CONTINGENCY MANAGEMENT
Target Behaviors**

- Abstinence from drugs/tobacco/alcohol
 - reduced drug use
- Therapy attendance and retention
- Treatment plans
- Medication adherence
- Improved outcomes:
 - marijuana
 - cigarettes
 - alcohol
 - opioids
 - benzodiazepines
 - polydrug use



CONTINGENCY MANAGEMENT

Types of Incentives/Reinforcers:

- Tangible
 - cash
 - gift certificates/ vouchers/ tokens
 - retail items
 - fishbowl (intermittent reinforcer)
- Social
 - social recognition
 - special privileges

Novel and Innovative Applications:

- Earned salary as contingent benefit

CONTINGENCY MANAGEMENT (Age, Gender, Diagnoses)

Effectiveness of CM:

- Short-term abstinence from cigarette smoking in **adolescents**
- Research on 78 cocaine-abusing **mid-adult** methadone maintenance clients (53 females)
- Research study with large sample size and cohorts of **young, middle, and older cocaine-dependent adults**
 - Benefits: retention and longest duration of abstinence
 - Older adults improved less from CM (62% females)

GENDER DIFFERENCES: What Is Known

- Females myelinate PFC earlier
(Powell, K., 2006. How does the teenage brain work? Nature 442(704), 865-867.)
- Different PFC recruitment during task
(Schweinsburg, A.D., Nagel, B.J., Tapert, S.F., 2005. fMRI reveals alteration of spatial working memory networks across adolescence. J Int Neuropsychol Soc 11, 631-644.)
- Gender: strong predictor for risk tolerance
 - Females: more risk aversion
 - Males: more financial risks

(Figner, B., Weber, E.U., 2011. Who takes risks when and why?: Determinants of risk taking. Curr Direct Psychol Sci 20, 211-216.)

GENDER DIFFERENCES: What Is Known

➤ **Non-invasive transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS):**

(Fecteau, S., Pascual-Leone, A., Zaidi, D. H., Laguerre, P., Théoret, H., Boggio, P. S., Fregni, F., 2007. Activation of prefrontal cortex by transcranial direct current stimulation reduces appetite for risk during ambiguous decision making. *J Neurosci* 27(23), 6212-6218.)

- Risk aversion = upregulation of activity in bilateral DLPFC regions

➤ **Low-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS):**

(Kooch, D., Gianotti, L. R., Pascual-Leone, A., Treyer, V., Regard, M., Hohmann, M., Brugger, P., 2006. Disruption of right prefrontal cortex by low-frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation induces risk-taking behavior. *J Neurosci* 26, 6469-6472.)

- Male only study
- Reduced inhibitory control
- Increased risk decision-making
- Suppression of right DLPFC activity



PURPOSE OF STUDY

- Find prefrontal correlates of risk decisions (wins/losses) in adults

- Identify gender differences in neural correlates of wins vs. losses

- Demonstrate feasibility of optical imaging in risk decision research

- Determine appropriate sample size for power in optical imaging research



BACKGROUND/SIGNIFICANCE: ADULT RISK DECISIONS

- Increase in white matter = PFC maturity

(Giedd, J.N., 2008. The teen brain: Insights from neuroimaging. *J Adolesc Health* 42, 335-343)

- PFC maturity achieved in early adulthood

(Yurgelun-Todd, D., 2007. Emotional and cognitive changes during adolescence. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 17, 251-257)

- Adults—Less difficulty with:

- Decision-making
- Impulse control
- Delay of gratification
- Emotional regulation
- Attention
- Long-range planning

(Ellis, L., 2005. A theory explaining biological correlates of criminality. *Eur J Criminol* 2(3), 287-315)



CONCLUSIONS

- **Adult males:**
 - Decided to risk earnings
 - Suffered more losses
 - Reduced inhibitory control
- **Adult females:**
 - Demonstrated risk aversion
 - Losses associated with bilateral dorsolateral PFC activation



FUTURE IMPLICATIONS

Contingency Management

- Role of gender and age in effectiveness of Contingency Management
 - Reinforcers as "wins"
 - Role of risk aversion
- No qualitative research has been done on gender-specific client perceptions of CM
- Extend optical imaging to lifespan risk decision research of "normal" and "clinical" populations



REFERENCES

Cavallo, D. A., Nich, C., Schepis, T. S., Smith, A. E., Liss, T. B., McFetridge, A. K., & Krishan-Sarin, S. (2010). Preliminary examination of adolescent spending in a contingency management-based smoking-cessation program. *Journal of Child & Adolescent Substance Abuse, 19*, 335-342.

Corby, E. A., Roll, J. M., Ledgerwood, D. M., & Schuster, C. R. (2000). Contingency management interventions for treating the substance abuse of adolescents: A feasibility study. *Experimental and Clinical Psychopharmacology, 8*(3), 371-376.

Kirby, K. C., Benishek, L. A., Leggett Dugosh, K., & Kerwin, M. L. E. (2006). Substance abuse treatment providers' beliefs and objections regarding contingency management: Implications for dissemination. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 85*, 19-27.

Petry, N. M., Alessi, S. M., Marx, J., Austin, M., & Tardif, M. (2005). Vouchers versus prizes: Contingency management treatment of substance abusers in community settings. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 73*(6), 1005-1014.

Petry, N., Martin, B., Cooney, J. L., & Kranzler, H. R. (2000). Given them prizes, and they will come: Contingency management for treatment of alcohol dependence. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 68*(2), 250-257.

Petry, N. M., & Roll, J. M. (2011). Amount of earnings during prize contingency management treatment is associated with posttreatment abstinence outcomes. *Experimental and Clinical Psychopharmacology, 19*(6), 445-450.

Petry, N. M., & Simic, Jr., F. (2002). Recent advances in the dissemination of contingency management techniques: Clinical and research perspectives. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment, 23*, 81-86.

Stitzer, M., & Petry, N. (2006). Contingency management for treatment of substance abuse. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology, 2*, 411-434.

Weiss, L. M., & Petry, N. M. (2011). Interaction effects of age and contingency management treatments in cocaine-dependent outpatients. *Experimental and Clinical Psychopharmacology, 19*(2), 173-181.